

## REPORT OF THE AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE UNION COUNTY SHERIFF

Calendar Year 1999

# EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### UNION COUNTY SHERIFF Calendar Year 1999

#### **Type of Opinion:**

The Sheriff's Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Excess Fees received an unqualified (clean) opinion.

#### **Findings:**

There were no new audit findings to report.

#### **Liabilities:**

There were no additional liabilities as of December 31, 1999.

#### **Deposits:**

The Sheriff's bank deposits were fully insured or collateralized with bank pledges of securities.

#### **Internal Control and Noncompliance:**

There were no reportable conditions or material non-compliances noted during the audit.

<u>Contents</u>	PAGE
-----------------	------

. 1
.3
.5
.9



### Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
John P. McCarty, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Larry Joe Jenkins, Union County Judge/Executive
Honorable James R. Girten, Union County Sheriff
Members of the Union County Fiscal Court

#### Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Union County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 1999. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the <u>Audit Guide for County Fee Officials</u> issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office is required to prepare the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than generally accepted accounting principles. This cash basis system does not require the maintenance of a general fixed asset group or general long-term debt group of accounts. Accordingly, the accompanying financial statement is not intended to present financial position and results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 1999, in conformity with the basis of accounting described above.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
John P. McCarty, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Mike Haydon, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Larry Joe Jenkins, Union County Judge/Executive
Honorable James R. Girten, Union County Sheriff
Members of the Union County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued a report dated April 25, 2000, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's compliance with certain laws and regulations and internal control over financial reporting.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - April 25, 2000

## UNION COUNTY JAMES R. GIRTEN, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

#### Calendar Year 1999

<b>D</b>		
Rec	01	ntc
$\mathbf{r}$	$\sim$ 1	$\nu$ $\omega$

State Grants			\$ 21,831
State Fees For Services:			
Finance and Administration Cabinet	\$	9,126	
Cabinet For Human Resources		901	10,027
Circuit Court Clerk:			
Sheriff Security Service	\$	7,743	
Fines and Fees Collected		9,936	17,679
Figure Court			122 (22
Fiscal Court			123,622
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes			1,458
Commission On Taxes Collected			161,001
Fees Collected For Services:			
Auto Inspections	\$	5,825	
Serving Papers		20,085	25,910
Other:			
Miscellaneous	\$	3,930	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	·	2,475	
Sheriff's Add On Fees - 10% of Tax and Penalty		16,536	22,941
Interest Earned			1,212
Borrowed Money:			
State Advancement			23,203
Gross Receipts (Carried Forward)			\$ 408,884

#### UNION COUNTY JAMES R. GIRTEN, SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES Calendar Year 1999 (Continued)

Gross Receipts (Brought Forward)			\$	408,884
<u>Disbursements</u>				
Operating Disbursements				
Personnel Services-				
Deputies' Gross Salaries	\$ 171,883			
Part-Time Gross Salaries	5,060			
Contracted Services-				
Vehicle Maintenance and Repairs	11,527			
Materials and Supplies-				
Office Materials and Supplies	3,081			
Uniforms	2,064			
Law Enforcement Equipment	2,591			
Other Charges-				
Conventions and Travel	1,535			
Dues	409			
Postage	924			
Bond	1,982			
Miscellaneous	3,478			
Jury Lunch	109			
Training Bonus	688			
Meal Reimbursements	946			
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	 1,200 \$	207,477	Ī	
Borrowed Money Repaid:				
State Advancement	_	23,203	<u>-</u>	
Total Disbursements				230,680
Net Receipts			\$	178,204
Less: Statutory Maximum				57,765
Excess Fees Due County for Calendar Year 1999			\$	120,439
Payment to County Treasurer - March 14, 2000				120,439
Balance Due at Completion of Audit			\$	0

### UNION COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 1999

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

#### B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a cash basis of accounting pursuant to KRS 68.210 as recommended by the State Local Finance Officer. Revenues and related assets are generally recognized when received rather than when earned. Certain expenses are recognized when paid rather than when a liability is incurred, including capital asset purchases. Certain other expenses are recognized when a revenue and the related asset can be associated with a corresponding liability due another governmental entity.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

#### C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 6.34 percent. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 16.28 percent.

UNION COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 1999 (Continued)

#### Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

#### Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 64.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 1999, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

#### Note 4. Sheriff's Drug Fund

The Union County Sheriff maintained a Drug Fund for monies received from drug related arrests. The balance of the fund as of January 1, 1999 was \$8,736. During calendar year 1999, receipts consisting solely of interest were \$115. There were no expenditures for calendar year 1999. The balance as of December 31, 1999 was \$8,851.

# REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



Honorable Larry Joe Jenkins, Union County Judge/Executive Honorable James R. Girten, Union County Sheriff Members of the Union County Fiscal Court

> Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Union County Sheriff's financial statement as of December 31, 1999, and have issued our report thereon dated April 25, 2000. We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Union County Sheriff's financial statement as of December 31, 1999, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Union County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a material weakness.

Honorable Larry Joe Jenkins, Union County Judge/Executive
Honorable James R. Girten, Union County Sheriff
Members of the Union County Fiscal Court
Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party. However, this report, upon release by the Auditor of Public Accounts, is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - April 25, 2000